

System F: A Calculus for Modeling Generics

Syntax:

$$\begin{aligned} T &::= \dots \mid \alpha \mid \forall\alpha. T \\ e &::= x \mid \lambda x : T. e \mid (e e) \\ &\quad \Lambda\alpha. e \mid e[T] \end{aligned}$$

Evaluation contexts:

$$E ::= \dots \mid E[T]$$

Reduction rules:

$$(\Lambda\alpha. e)[T] \longrightarrow [\alpha \mapsto T]e$$

Type rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash e : T}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda\alpha. e : \forall\alpha. T} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall\alpha. T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash T_2 \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash e[T_2] : [\alpha \mapsto T_2]T_1}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T_1 \text{ ok} \quad \Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash e : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. e : T_1 \rightarrow T_2}$$

Well-formed Types

$$\frac{\alpha \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \text{ ok}} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash T \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash \forall \alpha. T \text{ ok}}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T_1 \text{ ok} \quad \Gamma \vdash T_2 \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \text{ ok}}$$

Examples

$\text{id} = \Lambda\alpha. \lambda x:\alpha. x$
> $\text{id} : \forall\alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$\text{id}[\text{int}]$
> $(\lambda x:\text{int}. x) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

$\text{id}[\text{int}](2)$
> $2 : \text{int}$

$\text{id}[\text{bool}]$
> $(\lambda x:\text{bool}. x) : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}$

$\text{double} = \Lambda\alpha. \lambda f: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha. \lambda a: \alpha. f (f a)$
> $\text{double} : \forall\alpha. (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$\text{double}[\text{int}] \text{succ } 2$
> $4 : \text{int}$

Polymorphic Functions on Lists

Suppose that operations on lists have the following types:

$\text{nil} : \forall a. \text{list } a$

$\text{cons} : \forall a. a \rightarrow \text{list } a \rightarrow \text{list } a$

$\text{isnil} : \forall a. \text{list } a \rightarrow \text{bool}$

$\text{hd} : \forall a. \text{list } a \rightarrow a$

$\text{tl} : \forall a. \text{list } a \rightarrow \text{list } a$

The `map` function applies a function to each element in a list, creating a new list.

$\text{map} : \forall a. \forall b. (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \text{list } a \rightarrow \text{list } b$

$\text{map} = \Lambda a. \Lambda b. \lambda f : a \rightarrow b.$

(fix $(\lambda m : (\text{list } a) \rightarrow (\text{list } b).$

$\lambda ls : \text{list } a.$

if `isnil[a] ls` **then**

`nil[b]`

else

`cons[b](f (head[a] ls), m (tail [a] ls))`)

Type Safety

Theorem

Preservation If $\Gamma \vdash e : T$ and $e \longrightarrow e'$ then $\Gamma \vdash e' : T$.

Theorem

Progress If $\emptyset \vdash e : T$ then either e is a value or there is some e' such that $e \longrightarrow e'$.

Theorem

Normalization If $\emptyset \vdash e : T$ then for some v , $e \longrightarrow^* v$ and v is a value.

$$\text{erase}(x) = x$$

$$\text{erase}(\lambda x : T. e) = \lambda x. \text{erase}(e)$$

$$\text{erase}(e_1 e_2) = (\text{erase}(e_1) \text{erase}(e_2))$$

$$\text{erase}(\Lambda \alpha. e) = \lambda. \text{erase}(e)$$

$$\text{erase}(e[T]) = (\text{erase}(e) \text{unit})$$

Theorem

Wells It is undecidable whether, for a given closed term e in the untyped lambda calculus, there is some term e' in System F such that $\text{erase}(e') = e$.

Parametricity

- ▶ The type of a polymorphic function constrains the kinds of behavior that function.
- ▶ For example, the following is the *only* function that has type $\forall\alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$:

$$\Lambda\alpha. \lambda x:\alpha. x$$

- ▶ Can you think of all the functions that implement the type $\forall\alpha. (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$?
- ▶ Can you think of all the functions that implement $\forall\alpha\beta. (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \text{list } \alpha \rightarrow \text{list } \beta$.

Type passing versus type erasure

- ▶ The run-time semantics presented earlier is called “type passing” because type application (e.g., $(\Lambda\alpha.\lambda x : \alpha. x)[int]$) substitutes a type for a type variable (producing $\lambda x : int. x$).
- ▶ However, the types play no important role during run-time, so performing this substitution is useless work.
- ▶ Alternatively, we can erase types and just evaluate programs using the run-time semantics of the untyped lambda calculus.
- ▶ However, to preserve evaluation order, we have to be careful with the erasure of type abstraction and type application.

$$erase(\Lambda\alpha. e) = \lambda_. erase(e)$$

$$erase(e[T]) = (erase(e) \text{ unit})$$

First-class Polymorphism

- ▶ System F is an example of a language with first-class polymorphism.
- ▶ Polymorphic values can be passed as arguments to functions, returned from functions, and stored in memory (e.g., as an element of a list).
- ▶ First-class polymorphism is rather unusual in programming languages. C++ doesn't have it, ML doesn't have it, Java doesn't have it.
- ▶ The following is a simple example of a function that uses first-class polymorphism:

$$\Lambda\alpha. \lambda f : \forall\alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha. \\ (f[\text{int}] 1, f[\text{bool}] \text{true})$$

Compiling Generics

- ▶ There are two common approaches to compiling generics:
 1. One uniform implementation with boxed representations.
 2. Type-specialized implementations generated for each instantiation.
- ▶ With first-class polymorphism, if you want to use type-specialization, you have to do run-time code generation. (Though whole-program analyses can reduce the need for this.)