

Type Inference

- ▶ Instead of writing type annotations, can we use an algorithm to infer what the type annotations should be?
- ▶ That depends on the type system. For simple type systems the answer is yes, and sophisticated type systems the answer is often no (it's undecidable.)
- ▶ We'll first look at type inference for the simply typed lambda calculus.
- ▶ Then we'll look at type inference in ML (i.e., Hindley-Milner inference).

The Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$$\frac{x : \tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_3 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 e_2) : \tau_3}$$

The main constraint on the inferred types is that the type of e_2 needs to be equal to the parameter type of e_1 .

An alternative presentation (from Milner) attaches types to every subexpression and expresses all the constraints as equalities.

$$\frac{x_\tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x_\tau} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x_\rho \vdash e_\sigma \quad \tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x_\rho. e_\sigma)_\tau}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_\rho \quad \Gamma \vdash e'_\sigma \quad \rho = \sigma \rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (e_\rho e'_\sigma)_\tau}$$

Type Inference

- ▶ First initialize all the attached types with unique “unknowns”, that is, type variables.
- ▶ For example:
 $((\lambda f. (f\ 1)) (\lambda x. x))$ becomes
 $((\lambda f_{\alpha_1}. (f_{\alpha_2}\ 1_{\alpha_3})_{\alpha_4})_{\alpha_5} (\lambda x_{\alpha_6}. x_{\alpha_7})_{\alpha_8})_{\alpha_9}$
- ▶ We can change the type system to generate a set of equalities over these variables.

$$\frac{x_\alpha \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x_\beta \Rightarrow \{\alpha = \beta\}} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x_\alpha \vdash e_\beta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x_\alpha. e_\beta)_\gamma \Rightarrow \{\gamma = \alpha \rightarrow \beta\} \cup C}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_\alpha \Rightarrow C_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e'_\beta \Rightarrow C_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_\alpha e'_\beta)_\gamma \Rightarrow \{\alpha = \beta \rightarrow \gamma\} \cup C_1 \cup C_2}$$

Example

For this program

$$((\lambda f_{\alpha_1}. (f_{\alpha_2} 1_{\alpha_3})_{\alpha_4})_{\alpha_5} (\lambda x_{\alpha_6}. x_{\alpha_7})_{\alpha_8})_{\alpha_9}$$

we generate the following equations:

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}$$

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$$

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_4$$

$$\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_4$$

$$\alpha_6 = \alpha_7$$

$$\alpha_8 = \alpha_6 \rightarrow \alpha_7$$

$$\alpha_5 = \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_9$$

Solving equations by unification

- ▶ We can solve the equations by a process of normalization that simplifies the set of equations.
- ▶ The two main rules are:
 - ▶ Reduction: replace an equation of the form $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 = \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4$ with two equations: $\tau_1 = \tau_3$ and $\tau_2 = \tau_4$.
 - ▶ Variable elimination: suppose there is a equation of the form $\alpha = \tau$. If $\alpha \in \text{ftv}(\tau)$ then report an error. Otherwise substitute τ for α in all the other equations.
- ▶ The auxiliary rules are:
 - ▶ Replace $\tau = \alpha$ with $\alpha = \tau$.
 - ▶ Remove equations of the form $\alpha = \alpha$, $\text{int} = \text{int}$, $\text{bool} = \text{bool}$, etc.
 - ▶ If there is an equation $\tau = \tau'$ where the head type constructor differs on each side, then stop and report failure. (e.g., $\text{int} = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$)

Example

$\boxed{\alpha_3 = \text{int}}$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $\alpha_2 = \boxed{\alpha_3} \rightarrow \alpha_4$, $\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_4$, $\alpha_6 = \alpha_7$,
 $\alpha_8 = \alpha_6 \rightarrow \alpha_7$, $\alpha_5 = \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_9$

\implies variable elimination \implies

$\alpha_3 = \text{int}$, $\boxed{\alpha_1 = \alpha_2}$, $\alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4$, $\alpha_5 = \boxed{\alpha_1} \rightarrow \alpha_4$, $\alpha_6 = \alpha_7$,
 $\alpha_8 = \alpha_6 \rightarrow \alpha_7$, $\alpha_5 = \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_9$

\implies variable elimination \implies

$\alpha_3 = \text{int}$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $\boxed{\alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4}$, $\alpha_5 = \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_4$, $\alpha_6 = \alpha_7$,
 $\alpha_8 = \alpha_6 \rightarrow \alpha_7$, $\alpha_5 = \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_9$

\implies variable elimination \implies

$\alpha_3 = \text{int}$, $\alpha_1 = \boxed{\alpha_2}$, $\boxed{\alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4}$, $\alpha_5 = \boxed{\alpha_2} \rightarrow \alpha_4$, $\alpha_6 = \alpha_7$,
 $\alpha_8 = \alpha_6 \rightarrow \alpha_7$, $\alpha_5 = \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_9$

\implies variable elimination \implies

$\alpha_3 = \text{int}$, $\alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4$, $\alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4$, $\alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4$,
 $\alpha_6 = \alpha_7$, $\alpha_8 = \alpha_6 \rightarrow \alpha_7$, $\alpha_5 = \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_9$

Example, continued

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \boxed{\alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4},$$

$$\alpha_6 = \alpha_7, \alpha_8 = \alpha_6 \rightarrow \alpha_7, \boxed{\alpha_5} = \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_9$$

\implies variable elimination \implies

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4,$$

$$\boxed{\alpha_6 = \alpha_7}, \alpha_8 = \boxed{\alpha_6} \rightarrow \alpha_7, (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4 = \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_9$$

\implies variable elimination \implies

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4,$$

$$\alpha_6 = \alpha_7, \boxed{\alpha_8 = \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_7}, (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4 = \boxed{\alpha_8} \rightarrow \alpha_9$$

\implies variable elimination \implies

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4,$$

$$\alpha_6 = \alpha_7, \alpha_8 = \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_7, \boxed{(\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4 = (\alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_7) \rightarrow \alpha_9}$$

\implies reduction \implies

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4,$$

$$\alpha_6 = \alpha_7, \alpha_8 = \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_7, (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) = (\alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_7), \alpha_4 = \alpha_9$$

Example, continued

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4,$$

$$\alpha_6 = \alpha_7, \alpha_8 = \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_7, \boxed{(\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) = (\alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_7)}, \alpha_4 = \alpha_9$$

\implies reduction \implies

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4,$$

$$\alpha_6 = \alpha_7, \alpha_8 = \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_7, \boxed{\text{int} = \alpha_7}, \alpha_4 = \alpha_7, \alpha_4 = \alpha_9$$

\implies flip \implies

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \alpha_4) \rightarrow \alpha_4,$$

$$\alpha_6 = \boxed{\alpha_7}, \alpha_8 = \boxed{\alpha_7} \rightarrow \boxed{\alpha_7}, \boxed{\alpha_7 = \text{int}}, \alpha_4 = \boxed{\alpha_7}, \alpha_4 = \alpha_9$$

\implies variable elimination \implies

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \boxed{\alpha_4}, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \boxed{\alpha_4}, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \boxed{\alpha_4}) \rightarrow \boxed{\alpha_4},$$

$$\alpha_6 = \text{int}, \alpha_8 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \alpha_7 = \text{int}, \boxed{\alpha_4 = \text{int}}, \boxed{\alpha_4} = \alpha_9$$

\implies variable elimination \implies

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int},$$

$$\alpha_6 = \text{int}, \alpha_8 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \alpha_7 = \text{int}, \alpha_4 = \text{int}, \boxed{\text{int} = \alpha_9}$$

\implies flip \implies

$$\alpha_3 = \text{int}, \alpha_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \alpha_5 = (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int},$$

$$\alpha_6 = \text{int}, \alpha_8 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \alpha_7 = \text{int}, \alpha_4 = \text{int}, \alpha_9 = \text{int}$$

Example: the solution

So for this program

$$((\lambda f_{\alpha_1} \cdot (f_{\alpha_2} \ 1_{\alpha_3})_{\alpha_4})_{\alpha_5} (\lambda x_{\alpha_6} \cdot x_{\alpha_7})_{\alpha_8})_{\alpha_9}$$

the solution is:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \\ \alpha_2 &= \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \\ \alpha_3 &= \text{int}, \\ \alpha_4 &= \text{int}, \\ \alpha_5 &= (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int}, \\ \alpha_6 &= \text{int}, \\ \alpha_8 &= \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \\ \alpha_7 &= \text{int}, \\ \alpha_9 &= \text{int}\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$((\lambda f_{\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \cdot (f_{\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \ 1_{\text{int}})_{\text{int}})_{(\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int}} (\lambda x_{\text{int}} \cdot x_{\text{int}})_{\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}})_{\text{int}}$$

Most general unifier

- ▶ Sometimes the solution is underconstrained and there are many solutions.
- ▶ for example, $(\lambda x_{\alpha_1}. x_{\alpha_2})_{\alpha_3}$ gives rise to the following equations $\{\alpha_1 = \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2\}$.
- ▶ Here's some solutions:
 1. $\{\alpha_1 = \text{int}, \alpha_2 = \text{int}, \alpha_3 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$
 2. $\{\alpha_1 = \text{bool}, \alpha_2 = \text{bool}, \alpha_3 = \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}\}$
 3. $\{\alpha_1 = \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2\}$
- ▶ Which solution is the best?
- ▶ The *most general unifier* is the solution that can be instantiated to match any other solution.
- ▶ Solution 3 is the most general unifier. Instantiate $\alpha_2 \mapsto \text{int}$ to get solution 1 and $\alpha_2 \mapsto \text{bool}$ to get solution 2.
- ▶ The unification algorithm always returns the most general unifier.

Hindley-Milner (ML-like) Inference

- ▶ The family of ML languages provide let-polymorphism, which is a restricted form of the parametric polymorphism in System F.
- ▶ Not only does the inference algorithm figure out the types, but it also figures out what should be generic and where instantiation should happen.
- ▶ Example:

```
let f =  $\lambda x. x$  in  
  (f true, f 1)
```

is equivalent to the following in System F

```
let f =  $\Lambda\alpha. \lambda x : \alpha. x$  in  
  (f[bool] true, f[int] 1)
```

The Hindley-Milner Type System

Universal quantification (\forall) is only allowed at the top of a type.

$$\begin{aligned} T &::= \text{bool} \mid T \rightarrow T \\ S &::= T \mid \forall \bar{\alpha}. T \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of a “let” is inferred to be polymorphic.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma, x : \forall \bar{\alpha}. T_1 \vdash e_2 : T_2 \quad \bar{\alpha} \cap \text{FTV}(\Gamma) = \emptyset}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : T_2}$$

and instantiation happen implicitly when a variable is used

$$\frac{x : \forall \bar{\alpha}. T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : [\bar{\alpha} \mapsto \bar{T}] T}$$

Generalization

```
generalize( $\Gamma$ ,  $\tau$ ) =  
  let  $\bar{\alpha} = \text{ftv}(\tau)$  in  
  let  $\bar{\beta} = \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$  in  
  let  $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}$  in  
     $\forall \bar{\gamma}. \tau$ 
```

Algorithm J

```
infer( $\Gamma, e, E$ ) =  
  case  $e$  of  
     $x \Rightarrow$   
      let  $\forall \bar{\alpha}. T = \Gamma(x)$  and  $\bar{\beta}$  be fresh type variables in  
        ( $E, [\bar{\alpha} \mapsto \bar{\beta}] T$ )  
  | ( $e_1 e_2$ )  $\Rightarrow$   
    let  $(R, \rho) = \text{infer}(\Gamma, e_1, E)$  in  
    let  $(S, \sigma) = \text{infer}(R(\Gamma), e_2, R)$  in  
    let  $U = \text{unify}(\{S(\rho) = \sigma \rightarrow \beta\} \cup S)$  where  $\beta$  is fresh in  
      ( $U, U(\beta)$ )  
  |  $\lambda x. e \Rightarrow$   
    let  $(R, \rho) = \text{infer}((\Gamma, x : \beta), e, E)$  where  $\beta$  is fresh in  
      ( $R, R(\beta) \rightarrow \rho$ )  
  | let  $x = e_1$  in  $e_2 \Rightarrow$   
    let  $(R, \rho) = \text{infer}(\Gamma, e_1, E)$  in  
    let  $\tau = \text{generalize}(R(\Gamma), \rho)$  in  
    let  $(S, \sigma) = \text{infer}((R(\Gamma), x : \tau), e_2, R)$  in  
      ( $S, \sigma$ )
```

Algorithm W

```
infer( $\Gamma, e$ ) =  
  case  $e$  of  
     $x \Rightarrow$   
      let  $\forall \bar{\alpha}. T = \Gamma(x)$  and  $\bar{\beta}$  be fresh type variables in  
         $(\emptyset, [\bar{\alpha} \mapsto \bar{\beta}] T)$   
    |  $(e_1 e_2) \Rightarrow$   
      let  $(R, \rho) = \text{infer}(\Gamma, e_1)$  in  
      let  $(S, \sigma) = \text{infer}(R(\Gamma), e_2)$  in  
      let  $U = \text{unify}(S(\rho) = \sigma \rightarrow \beta)$  where  $\beta$  is fresh in  
         $(U \circ S \circ R, U(\beta))$   
    |  $\lambda x. e \Rightarrow$   
      let  $(R, \rho) = \text{infer}((\Gamma, x : \beta), e)$  where  $\beta$  is fresh in  
         $(R, R(\beta) \rightarrow \rho)$   
    | let  $x = e_1$  in  $e_2 \Rightarrow$   
      let  $(R, \rho) = \text{infer}(\Gamma, e_1)$  in  
      let  $\tau = \text{generalize}(R(\Gamma), \rho)$  in  
      let  $(S, \sigma) = \text{infer}((R(\Gamma), x : \tau), e_2)$  in  
         $(S \circ R, \sigma)$ 
```